

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/753,116	01/07/2004	Michael J. Kubek	225849	5202	
23460	7590 10/30/2006		EXAMINER		
	OIT & MAYER, LTD		AZPURU, CA		
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITI STETSON AVENUE	E 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			1615	,	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    10/753,116   KUBEK, MICHAEL J.			Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	
Carlos A Azpuru    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   Period for Reply   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Edentation of the map by a evilative under the provision of 30 FRT 1-1360, in to event, heaver, may a reply be tendy filled of the communication of 30 FRT 1-1360, in the event heaver, may a reply be tendy filled able on the maintain adulatory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.   Failure be reply which he set or excluded period for righy likely statulas, each the application is become AbsNADOENE, 513 U.S. C 1303, Any reply received by 40 of Office laser beam depletance. Set 20 FR 1-7840.   Failure be reply which he set or excluded period for righy likely statulas, each the application of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any season places that the provision of the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extent places that the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extended period for right likely into the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extended period for right likely into the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any extended period for right likely into the mailing date of this communication.   Status			10/753,116	KUBEK, MICHAEL J.		
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  10 A set of the communication of 30 FR 11/30(a), his overtice, however, may reply be timely filed of the communication of 30 FR 11/30(b).  11 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory printed will apply and will explice SIX (5) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  11 Fallure to reply which has set or cented partiol for reply will, by statutes, exame the application of second BANDONEO (31 U.S. C), 133), Any reply received by the Office later than the maximum statutory printed will apply and will explice some material than the production.  12 Page 10 This action is FINAL.  13 Page Responsive to communication (5) filed on 11 September 2006.  23 This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  7 Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  8 Page 10 Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  8 Page 10 Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  10 The drawing(s) filed on 1-6 is/are: a) accepted or b) by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on 1-6 is/are: a) accepted or by the production of the drawing(s) be red in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) in		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(c). In or event, however, may a reply be limitly filled by the provision of 27 CFR 1.138(c). In or event, however, may a reply be limitly filled.  If NO pariod for reply is predicted above, the machine statutor period will apply and will expise \$X (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the list or entended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply results the moliting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any common patient time edipathems. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 OFR 1.121(d).  11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be hel						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.704(b). In an event, however, may a reply be lainly filled of the communication.  If No period to reply a specified above, the machinum statutory period will apply and will reply a SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period to reply a specified above, the machinum statutory period use period to be been ARABANOSCO (38 U.S. © \$3.3). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search against them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 September 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2c) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2c) ☑ This action is fill of the produce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Ø The above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The ordawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121			pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are ellowed.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4.□ Interview Summary (PTC-413) Paper No(e)/Mail Date	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disnositi	on of Claims				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r.	Examiner.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01072004</u> . 6) Other:	1) D Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/753,116

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 09/11/2006.

## Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant is thanked for the copies of references provided. All have been indicated as considered on a newly initialed copy of the PTOL-1449.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for scope of enablement is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

After review of the cited prior art, the following rejection is maintained (with some modification) in this action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,360,610 (Tice et al) in view of EP 0 256 726 (EP'726).

Tice et al discloses a method of implanting microspheres directly into the central nervous system (see Abstract). The bioactive agents included are neurotransmitters,

Art Unit: 1615

neuropeptides and neurotrophic factors (see col. 4, lines 44-50). Polymers used in the implanted microspheres are listed at claims 1, and 9-11. It should be noted that these are biodegradable. Tice et al further disclose a microsphere content of 10 to 80 % of bioactive (see claim 7). This clearly overlaps with the percentage set out in the claims of the instant claims. Microparticulate implanted drug delivery systems are art recognized for their local delivery and are used for their local drug delivery properties. Therefore, the property of having a "size and shape sufficient to prevent dispersion of the microstructure from the central nervous system locus is art recognized (see discussion of microspheres at col 3, lines 10-67). Implantation is in the central nervous systm (see col. 4, lines 1-15). The use of cannulas to deliver bioactive to the central nervous system is well recognized as shown at col. 1, lines 50-53. Tice et al differs from the instantly claimed invention in that TRH is not specifically disclosed for implantation into the central nervous system.

EP'726 discloses microencapsulated TRH (see Abstract and claims). EP'726 also uses some of the same polymers to construct their microencapsulated formulations (see claims 9-12). . Selection of a central locus is taught by the Tice reference, as well as implantation to such a central nervous site using the same biodegradable microstructures, while the specific TRH microstructures are taught by EP'726. EP'726 further recognizes that shape of the implant is a function of several well known variables (See page 4, lines 39-52). Applicant has not shown any criticality in the use of non-spherical microstructures, and further, seems to support an equivalence in using any number of shapes in the specification at page 3, lines 15-21. As such, those of ordinary

skill in the art would found it well within their skill to use the method of implanting biodegradable microparticles disclosed by Tice et al, and further to more specifically use microencapsulated TRH of EP'726 as one of the CNS implants of non-spherical shape with a reasonable expectation of beneficial results. While the references do not specifically recite the up regulation of glutamate and/or aspartate, they teach the implantation method using the instantly claimed microstructures. Since the same method of implanting the same microstructures of TRH is suggested by the combination of these references, one would expect similar physiological effects as a result. As such, those of ordinary skill would expect similar increases in the release of glutamate and/or aspartate as a result of the prolonged release of TRH from these microstructures within the central nervous system. There are no unusual and/or unexpected results which would rebut prima facie obviousness. The instant method of increasing glutamate and/or aspartate release in the central nervous system would have therefore been obvious given the teachings of Tice et al in view of EP'726.

The article by Mori et al (Epilepsia: 33(6):994-1000, 1992) is cited for its disclosure of a liposomally entrapped TRF derivative which is administered intraperitoneally.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615